

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,781	03/25/2004	Fernando Oliveira	EMS-07401	5918	
52427 MUIRHEAD	7590 01/27/200 AND SATURNELLI, I.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
200 FRIBERO	PARKWAY, SUITÉ 1	PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R			
WESTBOROU	JGH, MA 01581		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2164		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/27/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/808,781	OLIVEIRA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164	

	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the se set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b     They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.	
See Conuntation Street. (See 37 CFR 1.12      The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / tinonamont (i	TOL OLT.
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. \( \subseteq  for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.9-11.14-17.20.21.26.32 and 34. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The Reviberse      The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. [_] Oulei			
	/Sathyanarayan Panna	la/	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amended claims 15-20 and claims scope has changed and further consideration and search for prior art may be required. Therefore, this amendment will not be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As the amendment filed is not considered. The final Office Action mailed on 10/28/2008 with rejection of claims. For example claim 1 is rejected using prior at Nakatani (US Patent 7,047,355) and Akutsu et al. (US Patent 6,510,396) as As per independent claims 1,15,21 and 32, Nakatani teaches a storage system and to write efficiently write journal logs and execute flush processing (col. 1, lines 62-64), Nakatani teaches the claimed, creating a journal entry that points to a first storage location containing old data to be replaced by the new data (Fig. 2, 8, col. 6, lines 4-27 and col. 12, lines 14-17), Nakatani teaches the claimed, allocating new storage space at the second storage location, wherein the old data is maintained in the first storage location after writing the new data to the new storage space at the second storage location, wherein the old data is maintained in the first storage location after writing the new data to the new storage space at the second storage location (Fig. 4, 6, col. 8, lines 30-34 and col. 9, lines 51-55), Nakatani explicitly does not teach maintaining journal entries. However, Akutsu teaches the claimed, the journal entry is maintained after writing the new data (Fig. 7, col. 4, lines 54-59 and col. 14, lines 56-65). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the invention, to combine the teachings of the cited references because Akutsu's teachings would have allowed Nakatani's method to provide journal data to be preserved as electronic data (col. 2, lines 24-25). Threfore, the rejection of claims in the final Office Action is sustained.